### , |

## 2

1

#### 4

#### 5

#### 6 7

## 8

### 9

# 1011

#### 12

### 13

1415

16 17

### 18

#### 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

#### ARISTA'S SECOND AMENDED PROPOSED VERDICT FORM December 6, 2016

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### SAN JOSE DIVISION

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (NC)

#### **VERDICT FORM**

When answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, please follow the directions provided throughout the form. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below.<sup>1</sup>

Source: N.D. Cal. Model Patent Jury Instruction C.3 (July 16, 2015).

1	IOUR ANSWERS MUSI DE UN	ANIMOUS					
2		Copyright I	nfringement				
3	1. Has Cisco proven that Arista copied protected expression from any of Cisco's copyrighted						
4	works?						
5		Yes	No				
6		For Cisco)	(For Arista)				
7	a. IOS user interface						
8	b. IOS-XR user interface						
9	c. IOS-XE user interface						
10	d. NX-OS user interface						
11	e. Cisco technical manuals						
12							
13	IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" FOR EVERY LINE IN QUESTION 1, PLEASE GO TO						
14	QUESTION 11.						
15	IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" FOR ANY LINE IN QUESTION 1, PLEASE CONTINUE						
16	AND PROVIDE ANSWERS TO EACH CORRESPONDING LINE IN QUESTION 2.						
17	2. For the works for which you answ	wered "Yes"	in Question 1, has Cisco proven that any of				
18	Arista's works that you find cont	ain copied p	protected expression are virtually identical to any				
19	of Cisco's copyrighted works as	a whole (dis	regarding the unprotected elements of the works				
20	identified in Exhibit A attached t	o this verdic	et form)?				
21		Yes	No				
22		For Cisco)	(For Arista)				
23	a. IOS user interface						
24	b. IOS-XR user interface						
25	c. IOS-XE user interface						
26	d. NX-OS user interface						
27	e. Cisco technical manuals						
	H						

28

1 2	IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" FOR ANY LINE IN QUESTION 2 PLEASE CONTINUE AND PROVIDE ANSWERS TO EACH CORRESPONDING LINE IN QUESTIONS 3-6. OTHERWISE, PLEASE GO TO QUESTION 11.
3 4	Scenes a Faire and Merger
5	3. For the works for which you answered "Yes" in Question 2, has Arista proven that Arista's
6	use was justified by the defenses of scenes a faire and/or merger?
7	Yes No
8	(For Arista) (For Cisco)
9	a. IOS user interface
10	b. IOS-XR user interface
11	c. IOS-XE user interface
12	d. NX-OS user interface
13	
14	<u>Fair Use</u>
15	4. For the works for which you answered "Yes" in Question 2, has Arista proven that Arista's
16	use of any items that you found were not justified in Question 3 was "fair use"?
17	Yes No
18	(For Arista) (For Cisco)
19	a. IOS user interface
20	b. IOS-XR user interface
21	c. IOS-XE user interface
22	d. NX-OS user interface
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

1	Copyright Misuse				
2	5.	Fo	or the works for which you a	nswered "Yes"	in Question 2, has Arista proven that Cisco
3		mi	sused its copyrights?		
4				Yes	No
5				(For Arista)	(For Cisco)
6		a.	IOS user interface		
7		b.	IOS-XR user interface		
8		c.	IOS-XE user interface		
9		d.	NX-OS user interface		
10					
11				G	L
12		_		Copyright Al	
13	6.		-	nswered "Yes"	in Question 2, has Arista proven that Cisco
14		aba	andoned its copyrights?		
15				Yes	No
16				(For Arista)	(For Cisco)
17		a.	IOS user interface		
18		b.	IOS-XR user interface		
19		c.	IOS-XE user interface		
20		d.	NX-OS user interface		
21	IF	YC	OU ANSWERED "NO" TO	ALL OF QU	ESTIONS 3 THROUGH 6 FOR ANY OF
22			LINES, PLEASE CONTIN STION 11.	NUE TO QUES	STION 7. OTHERWISE, PLEASE GO TO
23	V.		,1101(11.		
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
				3	}

ARISTA'S SECOND AMENDED PROPOSED VERDICT FORM Case No. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (NC)

1	Copyright Damages
2	7. Has Cisco proven that it lost profits as a result of the infringement?
3	Yes No
4	(For Cisco) (For Arista)
5	
6	IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION 7, PLEASE GO TO QUESTION 9.
7	IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION 7, PLEASE CONTINUE TO QUESTION 8.
8	
9	8. What amount of lost profits do you award to Cisco for Arista's infringement of Cisco's
10	copyright?
11	Φ
12	9. Has Cisco proven that Arista earned profits as a result of the infringement?
13	Yes No
14   15	(For Cisco) (For Arista)
16	
17	
18	IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION 9, PLEASE GO TO QUESTION 11.
19	IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION 9, PLEASE CONTINUE TO QUESTION
20	10.
21	10. What amount of Arista's profits do you award to Cisco for Arista's infringement of Cisco's
22	copyright that you did not already award in Question 8 as lost profits?
23	\$
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	A DISTA'S SECOND AMENDED PRODOSED VERDICT FORM
	ARISTA'S SECOND AMENDED PROPOSED VERDICT FORM Case No. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (NC)

1	Patent Infringement						
2	11. Has Cisco proven that Arista infringed claims 1 and 14 of the '526 patent?						
3	Yes No						
4	(For Cisco) (For Arista)						
5	a. Claim 1						
6	b. Claim 14						
7							
8	IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" IN QUESTION 11 FOR BOTH CLAIMS OF THE '526 PATENT, PLEASE GO TO THE END OF THIS VERDICT FORM AND SIGN AND						
9	DATE IT.						
10	IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" IN QUESTION 11 FOR ANY CLAIM OF THE '526 PATENT, PLEASE CONTINUE TO QUESTION 12.						
11							
12	12. What amount of damages do you award Cisco for Arista's patent infringement?						
13	\$						
14							
15	13. Has Cisco proven that it is highly probable that from an objective point of view the defenses						
16	put forth by Arista failed to raise any substantial question with regard to patent infringement,						
17	validity, or enforceability of the patent claim?						
18	Yes No						
19							
20							
21	IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" TO QUESTION 13, PLEASE GO TO THE END OF THIS VERDICT FORM AND SIGN AND DATE IT.						
22							
23	IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION 13, PLEASE ANSWER QUESTION 14.						
24	14. Has Cisco proven that Arista willfully infringed the '526 patent through the sale of EOS+?						
25	Yes No						
26							
27							
28							
	5						
	ARISTA'S SECOND AMENDED PROPOSED VERDICT FORM Case No. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (NC)						

1129989.01

### Exhibit A – Unprotected Elements of Cisco's Works As you have been instructed by the Court, the following elements of Cisco's copyrighted works are unprotected matter: 1. [Unprotected elements already identified in Preliminary Instruction No. 12] 2. [Any additional unprotected elements identified in analytic dissection] 3. The CLI Commands listed in the chart below: [Chart listing any commands found unprotectable – TBD after analytic dissection] 4. The Modes & Prompts listed in the chart below: [Chart listing any modes/prompts found unprotectable – TBD after analytic dissection] 5. The Help Descriptions listed in the chart below: [Chart of any help descriptions found unprotectable – TBD after analytic dissection]